

the alchemist's stone . . . [can] turn  
elf / From dross, till all is gold."<sup>77</sup>  
communities of faith.



## RESPONSE

*Andy Mangin*

In 1984, Wheaton College's Arena Theater got a new space. Jenks Hall had been purchased that spring and the theater was moving from the basement of Fischer dorm. The basement of Fischer dorm was quite a place to do theater. Space was limited, with low ceilings and the audience stacked on walls. As the story goes, they used to bribe the dorm floor above the theater with pizza during shows to keep the residents from flushing the toilet. Nothing quite ruins a tender moment of theater like the sound of dorm plumbing. Jim Young, the director of the theater at that time, had been praying fervently for a new space and was excited to move in. Jim and the theater students carried all the set pieces, furniture, clothing racks, and props across campus in what must have been a wild procession. Once everything had been moved out of Fischer and there was no object left to carry, they began the process of carrying something else. Jim believed the prayers of all those who had worked in that basement were in the walls. So the students put their hands against the walls in Fischer, and in the last leg of the procession, the students carried those prayers to the new space in Jenks and placed them into the walls. They literally carried the prayers from one building to the other. This is a powerful image of both prayer and community. It's a perfect story of how theater had given Jim and those students a way to embody belief and give it action. And it served to connect them to a community of the past, present, and future.

## CHOOSING COMMUNITY

In Arena Theater, this tradition is still in practice today. Each school year we start with a time of prayer during which we put our hands on the walls of the theater. And we add to the prayers. I have learned, through the practice of theater, that things matter, prayer matters, and embodiment matters. Jim Young loved the work of Dorothy Sayers. He taught her plays every year. He directed *The Zeal of Thy House* in 1978 at Wheaton College. And I believe Dorothy Sayers would have loved Jim Young and that story of carried prayers. It is that very kind of display of belief, community, and action that Sayers recognized in her address at the Malvern Conference in 1941: "I recognize in the theater all the stigmata of the Real and Living Church."<sup>1</sup>

As Dr. Colón has clearly laid out for us, there was something important and transformative for Sayers in the theater. Dorothy Sayers was intrigued and engaged by the community of theater makers united in the goal of putting on a play. I want to go further and explore the levels of community possible in the theater, not only in the process of theater making but also in the audience's experience of that creation. Theater is the only art form in which both the medium and the subject are human. It seeks to explore who we are; it is a laboratory of the human condition. In order to do this work well and with honesty, theater is dependent on a series of collaborations, each requiring belief, commitment, and relationship. This call to community and away from isolation is the very thing Colón is pointing us toward in Dorothy Sayers's work.

A play, unlike other written material, is unfinished until this collaboration begins. "That is why all good stage scripts read rather

<sup>1</sup>Dorothy L. Sayers, "The Church's Responsibility," in *Malvern, 1941: The Life of the Church and the Order of Society. Being the Proceedings of the Archbishop of York's Conference* (London: Longman's Green, 1941), 58.

crudely and  
to prescribe  
way of the a  
primarily w  
the material  
ative model  
sought in o  
*House*, she e  
and saw hov  
the product  
down and b  
the materia  
production  
shared serv  
allow the st

When I  
enormousl  
begins to b  
which is es  
in the coll  
which it w  
with it arti  
to tell its s  
to the stag  
important

Here is  
*Harcourt*

<sup>2</sup>Dorothy L. Sayers, *The Zeal of Thy House* (Cambridge: Harvard University Press, 1978), 10.

is still in practice today. Each school  
 er during which we put our hands on  
 e add to the prayers. I have learned,  
 at things matter, prayer matters, and  
 loved the work of Dorothy Sayers. He  
 irected *The Zeal of Thy House* in 1978  
 ve Dorothy Sayers would have loved  
 ried prayers. It is that very kind of  
 action that Sayers recognized in her  
 ce in 1941: "I recognize in the theater  
 iving Church."<sup>1</sup>

out for us, there was something im-  
 ayers in the theater. Dorothy Sayers  
 community of theater makers united  
 want to go further and explore the  
 ae theater, not only in the process of  
 .dience's experience of that creation.  
 ch both the medium and the subject  
 ho we are; it is a laboratory of the  
 o this work well and with honesty,  
 collaborations, each requiring belief,  
 is call to community and away from  
 is pointing us toward in Dorothy  
 material, is unfinished until this col-  
 all good stage scripts read rather

bility," in *Malvern, 1941: The Life of the Church*  
*edings of the Archbishop of York's Conference*

crudely and badly," Sayers wrote in a letter. "If the playwright tries  
 to prescribe every movement or tone of voice he merely gets in the  
 way of the actors and hampers the production."<sup>2</sup> Sayers, who had  
 primarily written novels, would be working in a different way with  
 the material for her plays. But she was energized by this collabor-  
 ative model, perhaps out of that hunger for community she had  
 sought in other arenas. In 1936, beginning with *The Zeal of Thy*  
*House*, she entered the theater world in a way that was new for her  
 and saw how theater was made from the inside. In the early days of  
 the production of a play, a group of designers and a director will sit  
 down and bring their visual experience and storytelling acumen to  
 the material. Each is intensely focused on their own phase of the  
 production—set, costumes, lights, music, and so on—but all in the  
 shared service of the script. They must complement one other to  
 allow the story to be clear.

When I'm directing a play, I find this collaborative process to be  
 enormously helpful. It is in these first few meetings that the play  
 begins to become clear. These initial meetings give life to the script,  
 which is essentially dead material, and are the very important first step  
 in the collaborative process. The play is drawn out of the study in  
 which it was written and into a world where others begin to engage  
 with it artistically. Designers and directors wrestle with the play to try  
 to tell its story to the audience, lifting it quite literally from the script  
 to the stage. Then a play goes into rehearsal, where it gains another  
 important set of collaborators, the actors.

Here is an excerpt from Dorothy Sayers's poem *To the Interpreter*  
*Harcourt Williams*:

<sup>2</sup>Dorothy L. Sayers, *The Letters of Dorothy L. Sayers 1944 to 1950*, Vol. 3, ed. Barbara Reyn-  
 olds (Cambridge: Dorothy L. Sayers Society, 1999), 193.

So is the play, save by the actor's making,  
 No play, but dull, deaf senseless ink and paper,  
 Either for either made: Light, eye; sense, spirit;  
 Ear, sound; gift, gold; play, actor; speech and knowing,  
 Become themselves by what themselves inherit  
 From their sole heirs, receiving and bestowing;  
 Thus, then, do thou, taking what thou dost give,  
 Live in these lines, by whom alone they live.<sup>3</sup>

Sayers describes beautifully the embodiment that is necessary in the process of a character coming to life. She admires Harcourt Williams, an actor who played multiple roles in her plays, including William of Sens in that original production of *The Zeal of Thy House*. She recognizes that without the actor, the lines are dead, and by extension, so are the characters.

I'm reminded of another Jim Young story. In one of his classes, two actors were working on a Shakespeare scene. Although they had memorized their lines, they hadn't rehearsed as much as they should have to present the scene in class. According to one of the actors, they believed they could stumble their way through it. Because they felt awkward that they were not ready, they did not take it seriously, even laughing at themselves at times. When they finished the scene, Jim Young put down his clipboard and left the room. The actors and the rest of the class didn't know what to do. When he came back a few minutes later, it was clear he had been crying. According to those who were there, he said something like, "These characters are real. They are stuck on a page and you are their only chance to have life. An audience will never know them if you do that to them. They will never live. That

<sup>3</sup>Dorothy L. Sayers, *Four Sacred Plays* (Deerfield Beach: Oxford City Press, 2011), 107.

is your responsibility and  
 if someone told your story

Apparently, there wasn't  
 of a college student, but the  
 the characters coming to life  
 the deepest kind of empathy  
 practice of embodiment,  
 a commitment to love the  
 a character, an actor will  
 actor. I have always found  
 the phrase *There but for the*

It is out of this internal  
 the play begins to come  
 out of it embodied and  
 other, and perhaps most  
 theater is dependent on  
 not a vital process that  
 rehearsal, every set and co  
 are pointed toward the  
 audience—and not a pa  
 asked to be more than co  
 participate. They are bei  
 Sayers knew that the au  
 words of the Recorder a

Playing all the parts  
 We, who are actors, l  
 That all these images  
 Are but pictures pain  
 No more like they th

king,  
and paper,  
ense, spirit;  
each and knowing,  
lives inherit  
bestowing;  
ou dost give,  
hey live.<sup>3</sup>

liment that is necessary in the  
e admires Harcourt Williams,  
er plays, including William of  
Zeal of Thy House. She recog-  
re dead, and by extension, so  
story. In one of his classes, two  
cene. Although they had mem-  
l as much as they should have  
g to one of the actors, they be-  
through it. Because they felt  
did not take it seriously, even  
they finished the scene, Jim  
the room. The actors and the  
o. When he came back a few  
ying. According to those who  
e characters are real. They are  
ance to have life. An audience  
em. They will never live. That

ach: Oxford City Press, 2011), 107.

Dorothy L. Sayers's *Vision for Communities of Faith*

is your responsibility and this is what you do? How would you like it if someone told your story like that?"

Apparently, there wasn't a dry eye in the class. It's a lot to demand of a college student, but that was Jim. He was moved by the process of the characters coming to life. It is an almost holy act of transformation, the deepest kind of empathy. Such empathy is possible through the practice of embodiment, through extended imagination, and through a commitment to love the character. In justifying and advocating for a character, an actor will often discover how similar they are to a character. I have always found sound theatrical reasoning and practice in the phrase *There but for the grace of God, go I*.

It is out of this internal collaboration—actor with character—that the play begins to come to life more deeply. The human beings come out of it embodied and relatable. But theater is dependent on yet another, and perhaps most important, phase of collaboration. Unlike film, theater is dependent on a live audience. This is not to say that there is not a vital process that happens in the rehearsal hall. But every rehearsal, every set and costume, and all the intense focus in preparation are pointed toward the future audience. Theater is dependent on an audience—and not a passive one. In the theater, the audience is being asked to be more than consumers. They are being asked to engage and participate. They are being asked to be co-creators of the play. Dorothy Sayers knew that the audience was necessary for a play to work. In the words of the Recorder at the very beginning of *A Just Vengeance*,

Playing all the parts as best we may. But yet  
We, who are actors, bid you not forget  
That all these images on which you look  
Are but pictures painted in a book—  
No more like they that bid you think upon

Than this yellow disc is like the sun;  
 Though, in a picture, this might stand for that,  
 And the great sun take no offence thereat.<sup>4</sup>

This is similar to William Shakespeare's prologue in *Henry V*:

Piece out our imperfections with your thoughts;  
 Into a thousand parts divide one man,  
 And make imaginary puissance;  
 Think when we talk of horses, that you see them  
 Printing their proud hoofs i' the receiving earth;  
 For 'tis your thoughts that now must deck our kings.<sup>5</sup>

It is a challenge and a call to recognize that there will be holes and that the audience will need to step into the gap. As the great theater director Peter Brook wrote in *The Empty Space*, "The only thing that all forms of theater have in common is the need for an audience. This is more than a truism: in the theatre the audience completes the steps of creation."<sup>6</sup> The audience is necessary for theater to work.

Ayad Akhtar, the playwright, described the experience this way in a recent essay in *The New York Times*:

A living being before a living audience. Relationship unmediated by the contemporary disembodied screen. Not the appearance of a person, but the reality of one. Not a simulacrum of a relationship, but a form of actual relationship. The situation of all theater, a situation that can awaken in us a recollection of something more primordial, religious ritual . . . the act of gathering to

<sup>4</sup>Sayers, *Four Sacred Plays*, 281.

<sup>5</sup>William Shakespeare, *King Henry V* (London: Routledge, 1988), 7.

<sup>6</sup>Peter Brook, *The Empty Space* (New York: Touchstone, 1968), 127.

witness the myths of our  
 of the theater's timeless

This connection in the mor  
 shared experience of a gli  
 us. It asks us to tell the s  
 creating, might be able to  
 rience in their own lives.  
 stories about the extremes  
 in it, we might also recogn  
 last collaboration can com  
 this: an audience is being  
 a story about others with t  
 back at them.

Arthur Miller wrote abo  
 a play:

My conception of the  
 which is carrying abou  
 or a hope, or a preoccup  
 from mankind; and in  
 is to reveal him to hims  
 of the revelation of hi  
 reason I regard the thea  
 or should make man r

In this way, the theater f  
 struggles with life and sin

<sup>7</sup>Ayad Akhtar, "One Mind, One I  
<sup>8</sup>Arthur Miller, *Arthur Miller's C*  
 Press, 1957), 11.

witness the myths of our alleged origins enacted—this is the root of the theater's timeless magic.<sup>7</sup>

This connection in the moment between performer and audience, this shared experience of a glimpse of humanity, demands something of us. It asks us to tell the story too. An audience, inclining and co-creating, might be able to experience things they might never experience in their own lives. It gives us a chance to see the embodied stories about the extremes of humanity, times we might never face; yet in it, we might also recognize ourselves. And importantly, out of this last collaboration can come reflection. The great paradox of theater is this: an audience is being asked to look past themselves, to engage in a story about others with the hope that who they are will be reflected back at them.

Arthur Miller wrote about the role of the audience while watching a play:

My conception of the audience is of a public each member of which is carrying about with him what he thinks is an anxiety, or a hope, or a preoccupation which is his alone and isolates him from mankind; and in this respect at least the function of a play is to reveal him to himself so that he may touch others by virtue of the revelation of his mutuality with them. If only for this reason I regard the theater as a serious business, one that makes or should make man more human, which is to say, less alone.<sup>8</sup>

In this way, the theater fights isolation. It allows us to see that our struggles with life and sin are not unique. Theater can allow us to see

<sup>7</sup>Ayad Akhtar, "One Mind, One Heart, One Body," *New York Times* 30 (Dec 2017): AR 5.

<sup>8</sup>Arthur Miller, *Arthur Miller's Collected Plays: With an Introduction* (New York: Viking Press, 1957), 11.

## CHOOSING COMMUNITY

how interconnected we really are. *There but for the grace of God, go I.* How can we fit into the arch Colón has described until we see how we are similar? I have had this experience of connectivity many times as an audience member, and I know of many other stories of people who were shown something like this by a play. This kind of experience often sneaks up on you. It is often revealed where and how you least expect it. And even that reflection doesn't happen in isolation; it happens while you are sitting in a crowd. The theater is not for single viewing. It is not for private consumption. It does not take place on your phone. You are meant to be sitting with others, laughing when they laugh, crying when they cry—in some cases, moved to do so by those around you. This is what the ancient Greeks would call *catharsis*. Catharsis is a release of those emotions caused by viewing or experiencing art. It was believed that out of catharsis could come restoration and renewal. It could cause realization and change.

I had the unique opportunity to be involved in a play based on the poems of my late colleague and friend Brett Foster. Brett had been fighting cancer for some time, and an idea developed to dramatize and embody his poems in a night of theater. The title was taken from one of his poems, "The Future Belongs to the Good Old Days." We rehearsed for several months, collaborating with Brett on which poems might work. He gave us new material that he was still working on. At that point, we didn't know what it would be or how it would work. But we started the work, connecting to the truths of life and death in his poetry. There was so much humanity in his work as he struggled with the sickness. There were poems about doctors and airports and his family. As we worked toward an opening night, our friend became more ill. During our first performance, Brett went to be with the Lord. The audience that night was made up of people who

Dorothy L. Sayers

knew Brett and who wanted to share his life as we come to forget the faces of his friends in the audience, were sharing a moment as charged with the theater. The shared experience changed by a live audience.

Dorothy Sayers clearly knew the artists who worked with us, a community shown to the church. On a deep level, what it means to be a human was able to do it because the actors brought their own experiences to what they saw. Unlike the stage. Plays are experienced by other humans who naturally breathe, fight, and connect the community into questions that fit into "the arch." Sayers And as Colón has shown us, other, toward reflection.



*There but for the grace of God, go I.* has described until we see how we sense of connectivity many times as many other stories of people who play. This kind of experience often and where and how you least expect to happen in isolation; it happens in the theater is not for single viewing. It does not take place on your phone. Others, laughing when they laugh, moved to do so by those around them would call *catharsis*. Catharsis is achieved by viewing or experiencing art. It would come restoration and renewal. We were involved in a play based on the end Brett Foster. Brett had been an idea developed to dramatize theater. The title was taken from songs to the Good Old Days." We were collaborating with Brett on which material that he was still working on that it would be or how it would connect to the truths of life and much humanity in his work as he wrote poems about doctors and air-traffic toward an opening night, our first performance, Brett went to the night was made up of people who

*Dorothy L. Sayers's Vision for Communities of Faith*

knew Brett and who wanted to celebrate his work. We were also celebrating his life as we communed in the theater that night. I will never forget the faces of his friends in the audience. I, an actor, and they, the audience, were sharing a moment that could never be repeated. Rarely is the moment as charged as this. And yet, this very thing is the power of theater. The shared experience, live and ephemeral, affected and changed by a live audience, is a recipe for community.

Dorothy Sayers clearly loved the theater. She deeply connected to the artists who worked on her plays and found in them, as Colón has shown us, a community that she saw as unique—even in comparison to the church. On a deeper level, her plays were asking questions about what it means to be a human, what it means to be redeemed. And she was able to do it because designers brought her plays off the page, actors brought their characters to life, and audiences connected to what they saw. Unlike her novels, her characters could come to life on the stage. Plays are embodied by real, living human beings, being watched by other human beings, who could watch the characters actually breathe, fight, and change. And in this way, she could draw a community into questions about who they were and how they could fit into "the arch." She could ask them what their keystone would be. And as Colón has shown us, she hoped to draw them toward each other, toward reflection, and toward action.